provided oral comments on the proposed presidential profile and selection criteria for the presidential search.

Written testimony may be viewed at the Board of Regents website as follows:

Written Testimony Received

Late Written Testimony Received

IV. AGENDA ITEMS

A. Approval of the Proposed Presidential Profile and Selection Criteria for the President Search

- D U H W / H RDQBrinDQarteio, Co-Chairs of the Presidential Search Advisory Group (PSAG), provided a report on the collective work of the PSAG to develop the presidential profile and selection criteria currently before the board for approval. They reviewed the PSAG's role in the process to formulate the profile and search criteria; went over the course of action used to create the document noting the numerous hours of work involved in this effort, the due consideration of feedback received from various outlets including public forums and the presidential search survey, and the length of deliberations on multiple drafts of the profile prior to arriving at the final version for which approval is being sought; and emphasized the document presently before the regents was a collective voice of both the PSAG and all of the feedback submitted through the various outlets. Although 100 percent consensus was not reached on every item, Mr. Leong and Ms. Centeio stated the PSAG feels this document represents many collective voices, including its own, and should be approved so as to begin recruitment for the position by the end of the month.

Regent Abercrombie expressed his concerns about what he believed were linguistic discrepancies in the profile, particularly with respect to the inconsistent use of the phrase "Hawai'i as a multicultural community" throughout the document, most especially in the section related to the university's Kuleana Imperative. He also voiced his